

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/529,575 | 04/14/2000 | FRANCIS JAMES ROURKE | 7042-R | 9622 |
| 27752 | 7590 07/12/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY | | | ANDERSON, CATHARINE L | |
| | JAL PROPERTY DIVIS LL TECHNICAL CENTE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 6110 CENTE | R HILL AVENUE | | 3761 | |
| CINCINNATI | I, OH 45224 | | DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 7. | √ J |
|---|---|---|--------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/529,575 | ROURKE ET AL. | ٠, |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | C. Lynne Anderson | 3761 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with t | ne correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply leply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABAND | be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | • | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters | • | i |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 31,32,36,41-46 and 48-53 is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31,32,36,41-46 and 48-53 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers | ected. | , | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | ner. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad | ccepted or b) Objected to by t | he Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | | l) . |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Appl iority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ication No eived in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) | A) 🔲 Interview Sum | mary (PTO-413) | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/M | ail Date | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other: | nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 42 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 42 depends from claim 41, which in turn depends from claim 31. Claim 31 discloses an absorbent article comprising from 0.0001% to 30% by weight of a protease inhibitor. Claim 41 further limits the absorbent article to comprise a delivery system, the delivery system comprising the protease inhibitor. Claim 41 does not require any further components to the absorbent article, and it is within the scope of claim 41 that the absorbent article is the delivery system. Claim 42 further limits the delivery system as being a skin care composition. Therefore, if the absorbent article is the delivery system, and the delivery system is a skin care composition, the absorbent article is the skin care composition. Since claim 42 discloses the skin care composition comprises from 0.01% to 50% by weight of the protease inhibitor, claim 42 fails to further limit claim 31, and in fact broadens the scope of claim 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

Art Unit: 3761

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31, 32, 36, 41-46, and 48-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cadwell (5,874,164).

With respect to claims 31, 32, and 36, Cadwell discloses all aspects of the claimed invention but remains silent as to the percent by weight of the protease inhibitor. Cadwell discloses an absorbent article, as described in column 6, lines 23-26, at least a portion of which comprises a protease inhibitor, as described in column 55, lines 16-19. The protease inhibitor is pentamidine, as described in column 55, line 28.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have the protease inhibitor present in the article in a range of about 0.0001% to about 30% by weight. The protease inhibitor of Cadwell is coated onto the absorbent article, as disclosed in column 56, lines 15-21, and to coat the surface of the article fully, the amount of protease inhibitor would obviously have to be greater than 0.0001% by weight. However, the surface of the article can only accommodate so much of a coating, and therefore it would also be obvious that the protease inhibitor would not be greater than 30% by weight.

The IC₅₀ is defined in the instant specification on page 7 as being dependent on the concentration of protease inhibitor and the rate of substrate cleavage of the protease inhibitor. The rate of substrate cleavage is dependent on the individual protease inhibitor, and pentamidine is disclosed in the specification as being a suitable protease inhibitor. Therefore, pentamidine, when present in the claimed concentration, inherently has an IC₅₀ of about 500

Application/Control Number: 09/529,575

Art Unit: 3761

 μM or less, no more than 100 μM , and as a result is capable of producing at least a 10% reduction in substrate hydrolysis by a protease.

With respect to claim 41, the article comprises a delivery system, a barrier web 15, as shown in figure 8, which comprises the protease inhibitor.

With respect to claims 42 and 43, the article can comprise a delivery system, a bandage or surgical gauze, as disclosed in column 53, lines 57-62, which facilitates the healing of a wounds and is therefore a skin care composition. The skin care composition comprises between about 0.0001% and about 30% of the protease inhibitor, as disclosed in the rejection of claim 31 above.

With respect to claim 44, the delivery system is a solid support.

With respect to claim 45, the delivery system in activatable by moisture and releases the protease inhibitor, as disclosed in column 55, lines 31-35.

With respect to claim 46, the deliver system contains the protease inhibitor as molecules, or particles, as disclosed in column 55, line 37.

With respect to claim 48, the article comprises a barrier web 15, as shown in figure 8, having the protease inhibitor disposed on at least a portion thereof.

The barrier web 15 comes in contact with the wearer.

With respect to claim 49, the barrier web 15 is part of the waist region of the article, as shown in figure 7.

With respect to claims 50 and 51, the wearer-contacting surface may be a bandage or surgical gauze, as disclosed in column 53, lines 57-62, which is a topsheet.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claims 52 and 53, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice for the topsheet to comprise regions that do not contain the skin care composition and have the skin care composition disposed in a plurality of stripes, since the applicant has not shown that this application of the skin care composition solves any stated problem or serves any particular purpose, and it appears the invention would perform equally well with the skin care composition disposed on all regions of the topsheet. *In re Dailey*, 140 USPQ 47.

Response to Arguments

The rejection of claims 31, 32, 36, 41-46, and 48-53 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cadwell (5,874,164) based on the obviousness of optimizing the range of protease inhibitor in the absorbent article has been withdrawn. The rejection is now based on the obviousness of the absorbent article comprising the protease inhibitor in the claimed range, as described in the rejection of the claims above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/529,575

Art Unit: 3761

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CIA cla July 8, 2004

> JOHN D CALVERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700